LACKENBACH SIEGEL LLP



Lackenbach Siegel Building

One Chase Road

Scarsdale, New York, U.S.A. 10583

Phone: (914) 723-4300 (914) 723-4301 Fax: E-Mail: mail@lsllp.com

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE:

March 8, 2004

TO:

Ljiljana V. Ciric, Examiner

Patent & Trademark Office

703-746-4955 **FACSIMILE NO.:**

FROM:

J. Harold Nissen

NO. OF PAGES: 9

RE:

Response to Paper Dated February 9, 2004

Appl. No.:

10/089,121

Applicant:

Malcolm Barry JAMES

Filed:

May 1, 2002

FAX RECEIVED

TC/A.U.:

1722

MAR 0 9 2004

Examiner:

Jeevon Jones

GROUP 3700

Docket No.:

COLLI.P 33

Customer No.:

28752

Certificate of Deposit by Fax:

I hereby certify that this corresondence is being filed by facsimile to 703-746-4955 on the following date:

J. Harold Nissen

nature

March 8, 2004

CONFIDENTIAL: This massage is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addrassed, and may contain Information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the Intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copyling of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, or have encountered any problem in receiving it, please notify us immediately by telephone. Thank you.

Appl. No.:

10/089,121

Inventor:

Malcolm Barry JAMES

filed:

May 1, 2002

TC/A.U.:

1722

Examiner:

Jeevon jones

Docket No.:

COLLI.P 33

Customer No.:

28752

Certificate of Deposit by Fax:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed by facsimile to 703-746-4955 on the following date:

J. Harold Nissen

March 8, 2004

Date

FAX RECEIVED

MAR 0 9 2004

GROUP 3700

RESPONSE TO PAPER DATED FEBRUARY 9, 2004

In response to the paper dated February 9, 2004, Applicant's Attorney submits the Argument contained in the Response which was held to be non-responsive and which provided the undersigned attorney with thirty days to Respond.

Applicant's attorney submits the previous arguments and has removed the proposed amendment for claim 30 only sets forth in the Remarks for purposes of interview and discussion with the Examiner. Accordingly, for this purpose, the last five lines of page 4 was omitted and all of previous page 5 with the exception of the last two lines were omitted. In all other respects the argument remains the same.

Applicant's attorney has used this procedure because access to the original submission could not be obtained, and Applicant's attorney only wanted to remove the portion for interview purposes.

Accordingly, a copy of the check for the extension is also submitted and the present paper, as well as the previous paper has been signed again with the omitted portion.

Applicant's attorney kindly thanks the Examiner for her assistance in this matter.

> Respectfully submitted, LACKENBACH SIEGEL, LLP Attorneys for Applicant(s)

kéa. No. 17283

Customer No. 28752

Telephone No. 914-723-4300 Fax No.: 914-723-4301

Enclosure Modified Previous Non-Responsive Amendment Copy of Check No. 105665

AMOUNT CHECK DEDUCTION NET AMOUNT

105665

55.00

55.00

DIR. OF THE U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFI 10/29/03 COLLISON P-33 10/089,121 ONE MO EXT DESCRIPTION INVOICE #

10/29/03	
12	
5	
105665	
5	
i a	
MALS	
9	
0	
Gross:	
5.5	
55.00	
0	
U	LI N
Ded:	
0	
0.00	
(363255EEEEEEE	
Net:	
55	
55.00	
	service Denomination